

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,122 .	07/14/2003	Herman Lee	112056-0085	6869	
	7590 06/27/2007 MCKENNA, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE		JEAN GILLES, JUDE		
BOSTON, MA	02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	· .		06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/619,122	LEE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143			
Period fo			·)		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	į.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	· •				
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/14/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🗌 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to reply filed on 04/16/2007.

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-28 are currently pending. Claims 23-28 are newly added. Claims 1-28 represent a method and apparatus for an "FOR OPTIMIZED LUN MASKING."

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 12, 17, 18, and 21-23 have been carefully considered, but are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the following existing ground of rejection as explained here below, necessitated by Applicant lack of substantial amendment/argument to the claims.

The dependent claims stand rejected as articulated in the First Office Action and all objections not addressed in Applicant's response are herein reiterated.

Applicant's Request for Reconsideration filed on 04/16/2007 has been carefully considered but is not deemed fully persuasive. However, because there exists the likelihood of future presentation of this argument, the Examiner thinks that it is prudent to address Applicants' main points of contention.

A. Applicant contends that Nolan does not disclose Applicant's claimed novel identifying a set of luns that the initiator may access, creating a lun map associated with

the initiator, and returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator. In further detail, in Applicant's claimed invention a storage system is identifying which luns on the storage system that a particular initiator may access. Each lun has a list of client identifiers that may access a given lun. The storage system steps through the luns on the system to identify which luns the logged initiator may access. There is no disclosure in Nolan of identifying which luns a particular initiator may access.

B. Applicant contends that Nolan and Bates, taken alone or in combination, are legally insufficient to make obvious the presently claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. § 103 because of the absence of the Applicant's claimed novel searching for a list of identifiers in the lun data structure to identify whether the initiator may access the selected lun, creating a lun map using the identified luns to be associated with the initiator, the lun map comprising a set of ordered pairs mapping virtual luns to physical luns, returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator.

As to "Point A" it is the position of the Examiner that Nolan in detail teaches the limitations of the above mentioned claims, specifically" identifying a set of luns that the initiator may access, creating a lun map associated with the initiator, and returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator" (See rejection of claim 1 below). Nolan teaches an export table that map the luns that can be accessed by an initiator (see table 1). Using thr initator's ID, the export table map can use the addressing information to return accessible luns to the initiator as claimed.

Page 4

Examiner thanks the applicants for a timely reply, but notes however, that applicants have failed in presenting claims and drawings that delineate the contours of this invention as compared to the cited prior art. Applicants have failed to clearly point out patentable novelty in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited that would overcome the 102(e) anticipation and the 103(a) rejections applied against the claims, the rejection is therefore sustained.

In response to Applicant's arguments, 37 CFR § 1.11(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must show the amendments avoid such references or objections."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 6-8, 12, 13, and 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nolan et al (Nolan), Patent No. 6,446,141 B1.

Regarding **claim 1**, Nolan discloses a method for generating a lun map associated with an initiator for use with a storage system in a network environment (fig. 1B), the method comprising :

logging into the storage system by the initiator (column 19, lines 52-61); identifying a set of luns that the initiator may access (column 6, lines 21-28); creating a lun map associated with the initiator (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8); and returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator (column 20, lines 11-23).

Regarding **claim 6**, Nolan discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the network environment comprises a Fibre Channel switching network (column 4, lines 33-54).

Regarding claim 7, Nolan discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the storage system comprises a multi-protocol storage appliance (column 4, lines 33-54).

Regarding **claim 8**, Nolan discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the step of identifying the set of luns comprises the step of accessing a set of lun data structures associated with the storage system initiator (column 8, lines 55-60; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);

Regarding claim 12, Nolan discloses a method for performing a lun masking operation associated with an initiator for use with a storage system in a network environment (fig. 1B), the method comprising:

sending, by the initiator, a command directed to a lun associated with a storage system (see Nolan; column 20, lines 3-23);

accessing, by the storage system, a lun map associated with the initiator (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8); mapping the lun value sent by the initiator to a lun value associated with the storage system using the accessed lun map (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);

returning, in response to a failure of the mapping operation, an error message (see Nolan; column 3, lines 9-14; note that handling the device failure inherently teaches the sending of an error message); and

performing, in response to a success of the mapping operation, the requested command on the specified lun (see Nolan; column 20, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 13, Nolan discloses the method of claim 12 wherein the lun map is contained within an initiator data structure associated with the storage system (column 8, lines 55-60; column 21, lines 28-36).

Regarding **claim 17**, Nolan discloses a method for performing a lun masking operation associated with an initiator for use with a storage system in a network environment (fig. 1B), the method comprising:

sending, by an initiator, a command directed to a lun associated with a storage system (see Nolan; column 20, lines 3-23);

accessing, by the storage system, a lun map associated with the initiator, the lun map being contained within an initiator data structure associated with the storage systemand wherein the lun map further comprises a set of ordered pairs mapping a virtual lun to a physical lun (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);

mapping the lun value sent by the initiator to a lun value sent by the initiator to a lun value associated with the storage system using the accessed lun map, whereby the lun value sent by the initiator comprises a virtual lun and the lun value associated with the storage system comprises a physical lun (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);

returning, in response for a failure of the mapping operation common error message (see Nolan; column 3, lines 9-14; note that handling the device failure inherently teaches the sending of an error message); and

performing, in response to the success of the mapping operation, the requested command on the specified lun value associated with the storage system (column 8, lines 55-60; column 21, lines 28-36).

Regarding **claim 18**, Nolan discloses a storage system for use in a networking environment, the storage system comprising:

one or more luns that may be selectively exported to one or more clients of the storage system (column 7, lines 1-22; note that the clients here are client servers);

one or more initiator data structures, each of the one or more data structures associated with each of the one or more clients of the storage system, each of the initiator data structures including a lun map(column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);and

a small computer system interface target module adapted to, upon receipt of a command directed to one of the one or more luns from one of the one or more clients, access the lun map to determine if the client may access the specified lun (figs. 1B, 2 and 3; column 20, lines 3-23).

Regarding claim 19, Nolan discloses the storage system of claim 18 wherein the one or more luns comprise virtual disks (column 20, lines 3-45).

Regarding **claim 20**, Nolan discloses the storage system of claim 18 wherein each of the initiator data structures are generated by the small computer system interface target module upon login by an associated initiator (column 19, lines 52-61).

Page 9

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding **claim 21**, Nolan discloses a computer readable medium, executing on a storage system, for generating a lun map associated with an initiator (fig. 1B, the computer readable medium including program instructions for performing :

identifying a set of luns that the initiator may access by accessing a set of lun data structures associated with the storage system (column 6, lines 21-28);

creating a lun map associated with the initiator (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8); and returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator (column 20, lines 11-23).

Regarding **claim 22**, Nolan discloses A storage system for use in a network environment, the storage system comprising:

means for selectively exporting one or more luns to one or more clients of the storage system(figs. 1B, 2 and 3; column 20, lines 3-23);

one or more initiator data structures, each of the one or more data structures associated with each of the one or more clients of the storage system, each of the initiator data structures including a lun map (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8);and

means for determining if a client may access a specified lun (figs. 1B, 2 and 3; column 20, lines 3-23).

Claim 23 is similar to claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

Claim 24 is similar to claim 20, and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 20 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,122 Page 10

Art Unit: 2143

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-5, 9-11, 14-16, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan in view of Bates et al (Bates) U.S. Patent No. 6,977,927 B1.

Regarding claim 2: Nolan discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

Nolan teaches the method for generating a lun map associated with an initiator for use with a storage system in a network environment, but fails to disclose the details of a method "wherein the lun map further comprises a set of ordered pairs mapping virtual luns to a physical luns".

In the same field of endeavor, Bates discloses "a L'UN map may be a two-dimensional matrix. In a preferred embodiment, a LUN map stores a two-dimensional array of physical LUN data. A first axis of the LUN map is indexed by target LUN information, and a second axis of the LUN map is indexed by target HBA information..." [see Bates; column 10, lines 32-37].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Bates' teachings of using "the lun map further comprises a set of ordered pairs mapping virtual luns to a physical

luns" with the teachings of Nolan, for the purpose of improving the ability of a network "...to provide a method and computer program product for allocating storage from a variety of storage device types, manufactures, and interfaces in a storage aea network ... while maintaining storage security" as stated by Bates in lines 18-25 of column 2. By this rationale, claim 2 is rejected.

Regarding claim 3: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 2 wherein a virtual lun is a lun number exported to the initiator; and

wherein the physical lun is a lun number associated with the storage system (column 8, lines 55-60; column 21, lines 28-36).

Regarding claim 4: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the step of identifying a set of luns that the initiator may access further comprises:

- (a) selecting a lun data structure (see Nolan; column 8, lines 26-60; note that the table is the data structure of choice here);
- (b) searching through a list of initiator identifiers in the lun data structure to identify whether the initiator may access the selected lun (see Gates; column 11, lines 17-34); and

repeating steps (a) and (b) for each lun data object associated with a given storage system (see Nolan; column 8, lines 26-60; see Gates; column 11, lines 17-34).

Regarding claim 5: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 4 wherein the initiator identifier comprises a world wide name (see Nolan; column 3, lines 1-8; note that the SCSI-3 identification Number is the world wide name in this context).

Regarding claim 9: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses a method for generating a lun map associated with an initiator for use with a storage system in a network environment, the method comprising :

- (a) logging into the storage system by the initiator (see Nolan; column 19, lines 52-61);
- (b) selecting a lun data structure(see Nolan; column 8, lines 26-60; note that the table is the data structure of choice here);
- (c) searching for a list of identifiers in the lun data structure to identify whether the initiator may access the selected lun (see Gates; column 11, lines 17-34);
- (d) repeating steps (b) and (c) for each lun data structure associated with the storage system (see Nolan; column 8, lines 26-60; see Gates; column 11, lines 17-34).;
- (e) creating a lun map using the identified luns to be associated with the initiator, the lun map comprising a set of ordered pairs mapping virtual luns to physical luns (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8); and
 - (f) returning a set of accessible luns to the initiator (column 20, lines 11-23).

Regarding claim 10: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 9 when a virtual lun is a lun number exported to the initiator; and

wherein a physical lun is a lun number associated with the storage system see (Bates; column 10, lines 32-37).

Regarding claim 11: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 10 wherein the set of accessible luns comprises a set of virtual luns to be exported to the initiator (Bates; column 10, lines 32-37).

Regarding claim 14: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 12 wherein the lun map further comprises a set of ordered pairs mapping a virtual lun to a physical lun [see Bates; column 10, lines 32-37].

Regarding claim 15: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 14 wherein a virtual lun is a lun number exported to the initiator; and

where a physical lun is a lun number associated with the storage system (see Nolan; column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 21, lines 28-36; column 3, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 16: the combination Nolan-Bates discloses the method of claim 12 wherein the step of mapping the lun value sent by the initiator to a lun value associated with the storage system using the lun map further comprises:

identifying an entry of the lun map associated with the lun value sent by the initiator (column 8, lines 55-60; column 21, lines 28-36);

Page 14

selecting an associated entry associated with the identified entry, the associated entry storing the lun value associated with the storage system (column 8, lines 55-60; see also table 1 in column 8; column 3, lines 1-8).

Claim 25-28 are similar to claim 2-5, and are rejected for the same reasons as claim 2-5 above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,122 Page 15

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-9000.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

June 23, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100